

Applicant: Ian K. Jones et al
 Serial No.: 09/675,849
 Filing Date: September 28, 2000
 For: DIGITAL INTERACTIVE DELIVERY SYSTEM FOR
 TV/MULTIMEDIA/INTERNET

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATIONS MADE BY APPLICANT**RECEIVED**

MAR 20 2001

U.S. Patent Documents

Examiner Initial*	Document Number	Issue Date	Technology Center 2600 Name
KB 1	4,567,511	01/25/86	Smith et al.
KB 2	4,577,220	03/18/86	Laxton et al.
KB 3	4,642,685	02/10/87	Roberts et al.
KB 4	4,644,393	02/17/87	Smith et al.
KB 5	4,885,632	12/05/89	Maybe et al.
KB 6	5,448,568	09/05/95	Delpuch et al.
KB 7	5,512,933	04/30/96	Wheatley et al.
KB 8	5,594,491	01/14/97	Hodge et al.
KB 9	5,633,810	05/27/97	Mandal et al.
KB 10	5,635,979	06/03/97	Kostreski et al.
KB 11	5,657,072	08/12/97	Aristides et al.
KB 12	5,666,293	09/09/97	Metz et al.
KB 13	5,734,589	03/31/98	Kostreski et al.

Examiner:

Date Considered:

08/21/03

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609, draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Applicant: Ian K. Jones et al
 Serial No.: 09/675,849
 Filing Date: September 28, 2000
 For: DIGITAL INTERACTIVE DELIVERY SYSTEM FOR
 TV/MULTIMEDIA/INTERNET



Att'y Docket No.: 13587.14
 Group: 2711

Foreign Patent Documents

RECEIVED

Examiner Initial*	Document Number	Publication Date	Country or Patent Office	Date
<u>KB</u> 14	WO 96/02106	01/25/96	PCT	MAR 20 2001
<u>KB</u> 15	WO 96/17306	06/06/96	PCT	Technology Center 2600
<u>KB</u> 16	WO 96/41478	12/19/96	PCT	
<u>KB</u> 17	WO 97/09827	03/13/97	PCT	
<u>KB</u> 18	WO 97/42763	11/13/97	PCT	
<u>KB</u> 19	WO 98/12832	03/26/98	PCT	
<u>KB</u> 20	WO 99/12353	01/11/99	PCT	
<u>KB</u> 21	WO 99/63759	12/09/99	PCT	
<u>KB</u> 22	0 594 353 A1	10/13/93	EP	
<u>KB</u> 23	0 805 594 A2	11/05/97	EP	

Examiner:

Date Considered:

08/21/03

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609, draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Applicant: Ian K. Jones et al

Serial No.: 09/675,849

Filing Date: September 28, 2000

For: DIGITAL INTERACTIVE DELIVERY SYSTEM FOR
TV/MULTIMEDIA/INTERNET

Att'y Docket No.: 13587.14

Group: 2711

Other Documents

(including author, title, pertinent pages, etc.)

Examiner

Initial***RECEIVED**

MAR 20 2001

Technology Center 2600

KB 24 Almeroth, K.C., et al., *The Use of Multicast Delivery to Provide a Scalable and Interactive Video-on-Demand Service*, IEEE Journal of Selected Areas in Communications, vol. 14, no. 6, August 1, 1996, pp. 1110-1122.

KB 25 Zahariadist, T. et al., *Adaptation of Internet Access on a Broadband DAVIC Architecture*, Proceedings of the second IEEE Symposium on Computers and Communications, July 1-3, 1997, pp. 507-511.

KB 26 Khasnabish, B., *Broadband to the Home (BTTH): Architecture, Access Methods, and the Appetite for It*, IEEE Network: The Magazine of Computer Communications, vol. 11, no. 1, January 1, 1997, pp. 58-69.

KB 27 Ramanujan, R. et al., *Traffic Control Mechanism to Support Video Multicast Over IP Networks*, Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Multimedia Computer and Systems '97, June 3-6, 1997, pp. 85-94.

KB 28 Lockwood, J.W. et al., *Providing Multicast Video on Demand Using Native-Mode Asynchronous Transfer Mode*, ISCAS 1998 Proceedings of the '98 IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems, vol. 4 May 31-June 3, 1998, pp. 53-56.

KB 29 M. Reha Civanlar et al., *A Practical System for MPEG-2-Based Video-on-Demand Over ATM Packet Networks and the WWW*, Signal Processing, Image Communication, vol. 8, no. 3, April 1, 1996, pp. 221-227.

KB 30 The Patent Office, *Product Announcement "Multimedia: Precept Unveils First General Purpose Standards-Based Software Platform for Running Multimedia on Existing Networks,"* The Work-Group Computing Report, vol. 7, no. 298, January 29, 1996, p. 21.

KB 31 The Patent Office, *Product Announcement "Precept Adds MPEG to IP/IV Video Distribution,"* PC Week, vol. 14, no. 6, February 10, 1997, p. 98.

Examiner:

Date Considered:

08/21/03

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609, draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Applicant: Ian K. Jones et al

Serial No.: 09/675,849

Filing Date: September 28, 2000

For: DIGITAL INTERACTIVE DELIVERY SYSTEM FOR
TV/MULTIMEDIA/INTERNET

Att'y Docket No.: 13587.14

Group: 2711

RECEIVED

MAR 20 2001

References Cited by Applicants**Technology Center 2600**

While the filing of Information Disclosure Statements is voluntary, the procedure is governed by the guidelines of Section 609 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure and 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.97 and 1.98. To be considered a proper Information Disclosure Statement, Form PTO-1449 shall be accompanied by a copy of each listed patent or publication or other item of information and a translation of the pertinent portions of foreign documents (if an existing translation is readily available to the applicant), an explanation of relevance of each reference not in the English language, and should be submitted in a timely manner as set out in MPEP Sec. 609.

Examiners will consider all citations submitted in conformance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.98 and MPEP Sec. 609 and place their initials adjacent the citations in the spaces provided on this form. Examiners will also initial citations not in conformance with the guidelines which may have been considered. A reference may be considered by the Examiner for any reason whether or not the citation is in full conformance with the guidelines. A line will be drawn through a citation if it is not in conformance with the guidelines AND has not been considered. A copy of the submitted form, as reviewed by the Examiner, will be returned to the applicant with the next communication. The original of the form will be entered into the application file.

Each citation initialed by the Examiner will be printed on the issued patent in the same manner as references cited by the Examiner on Form PTO-892.

The reference designations "A1," "A2," etc. (referring to Applicant's reference 1, Applicant's reference 2, etc.) will be used by the Examiner in the same manner as Examiner's reference designations "A," "B," "C," etc. on Office Action Form PTO-1142.

G:\DATA\WPDOCS3\DFW\DLT\Ridout&Maybee\0118ids1449_14.doc

Examiner:

Date Considered:

08/21/03

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609, draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.